



Department of Energy

Ohio Field Office
Fernald Area Office
P. O. Box 538705
Cincinnati, Ohio 45253-8705
(513) 648-3155



4071

09 JAN 2002

Mr. James A. Saric, Remedial Project Manager
United States Environmental Protection Agency
Region V, SRF-5J
77 West Jackson Boulevard
Chicago, Illinois 60604-3590

DOE-0226-02

Mr. Tom Schneider, Project Manager
Ohio Environmental Protection Agency
401 East 5th Street
Dayton, Ohio 45402-2911

Ms. Val Orr
Division of Drinking and Ground Waters – UIC Unit
Ohio Environmental Protection Agency
P.O. Box 1049
Columbus, Ohio 43216-1049

Dear Mr. Saric, Mr. Schneider, and Ms. Orr:

OCTOBER 2001 MONTHLY RE-INJECTION OPERATING REPORT

This letter submits the subject report for your review and approval.

This monthly report is being submitted to the United States Environmental Protection Agency and Ohio Environmental Protection Agency Office of Federal Facilities Oversight in accordance with the Re-Injection Demonstration Test Plan. The monthly report is also being submitted to the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency Division of Drinking and Ground Waters Unit of Underground Injection Control (UIC) in accordance with their guidelines.

Mr. James A. Saric
Mr. Tom Schneider
Ms. Val Orr

-2-


4071

09 JAN 2002

DOE-0226-02

If you have any questions or concerns regarding this report, please contact Robert Janke at (513) 648-3124.

Sincerely,



Johnny W. Reising
Fernald Remedial Action
Project Manager

FEMP:R.J. Janke

Enclosure: As Stated

cc w/enclosure:

R. J. Janke, OH/FEMP
G. Jablonowski, USEPA-V, SRF-5J
T. Schneider, OEPA-Dayton (three copies of enclosure)
F. Bell, ATSDR
F. Hodge, Tetra Tech
M. Schupe, HSI GeoTrans
R. Vandegrift, ODH
D. Brettschneider, Fluor Fernald, Inc./MS52-5
K. Broberg, Fluor Fernald, Inc./MS52-5
W. Hertel, Fluor Fernald, Inc./MS52-5
M. Jewett, Fluor Fernald, Inc./MS52-2
C. Smyser, Fluor Fernald, Inc./MS52-5
R. White, Fluor Fernald, Inc./MS52-5
AR Coordinator, Fluor Fernald, Inc./MS78

cc w/o enclosure:

N. Hallein, EM-31/CLOV
A. Tanner, OH/FEMP
D. Carr, Fluor Fernald, Inc./MS2
T. Hagen, Fluor Fernald, Inc./MS65-2
S. Hinnefeld, Fluor Fernald, Inc./MS31
T. Walsh, Fluor Fernald, Inc./MS65-2
ECDC, Fluor Fernald, Inc./MS52-7

**MONTHLY RE-INJECTION
OPERATING REPORT
OCTOBER 2001**

4071

OVERVIEW

On September 2, 1999, DOE completed one year of active groundwater re-injection as part of a field-scale demonstration. A report detailing the demonstration was issued to the U.S. EPA and Ohio EPA on May 30, 2000.

Re-Injection at Fernald is exempted under 40 CFR 300.400(e)(1) from requiring a permit, as it is a CERCLA action. In accordance with Ohio EPA Guidelines (OEPA 1997), DOE will prepare monthly operating reports that include:

- I. An analysis of the injectate
- II. The volume and rate of re-injection
- III. A description of any well maintenance and rehabilitation procedures conducted.

DOE submits the monthly re-injection operating reports to the U.S. EPA, Ohio EPA Office of Federal Facilities Oversight, and the Division of Ohio EPA Drinking and Ground Waters – Underground Injection Control Unit. This report covers re-injection operations from October 1 to November 1, 2001.

Routine monitoring of the aquifer in the re-injection area is conducted as part of the groundwater remedy performance monitoring program specified in Fernald's Integrated Environmental Monitoring Plan. Results of the Integrated Environmental Monitoring Plan are reported quarterly and are available for viewing on the Fernald Website, www.fernald.gov.

ANALYSIS OF THE INJECTATE

Groundwater extracted from the Great Miami Aquifer is treated for uranium removal and is then re-injected into the Great Miami Aquifer. The groundwater is treated in the FEMP Advanced Waste Water Treatment (AWWT) Expansion Facility. The effluent from the AWWT Expansion Facility is sampled monthly for the parameters listed in Table 2-1 of the Re-Injection Demonstration Test Plan, Revision 0.

Monthly injectate grab sampling focuses on the groundwater final remediation level (FRL) constituents that have had an exceedance of their FRL in the region of the aquifer from which the groundwater is being pumped. The monthly injectate grab samples are sent to an off-site laboratory for analysis. In addition to the monthly grab sample, 24-hour composite samples are collected and analyzed at the on-site laboratory for total uranium. The 24-hour composite sampler samples the combined effluent from the active treatment trains comprising the facility. The daily composite results are used by plant

management for making process control decisions. They provide a daily evaluation of the quality of the water that is re-injected into the aquifer. Composite daily total uranium results from the AWWT Expansion Facility effluent for days when re-injection occurred are shown in Figure 1.

The monthly grab sample was collected on October 3, 2001. Results are provided in Table 1. These results indicate that all constituent concentrations are below their respective FRLs. The total uranium concentration measured in the monthly grab sample was 0.751 micrograms per liter ($\mu\text{g/L}$). The FRL for total uranium is 20 $\mu\text{g/L}$. The total uranium concentration of the daily composite sample also collected on October 3, 2001 was 5.00 $\mu\text{g/L}$.

VOLUME AND RATE OF RE-INJECTION

The design re-injection set point for each of the re-injection wells is 200 gallons per minute (gpm). The combined design re-injection rate for all five wells is 1000 gpm. Figure 2 illustrates the location of the five re-injection wells; Tables 2 through 6 summarize the current calendar year's operational data by month. The tables also provide averages by year for the calendar years 1998, 1999, and 2000.

Re-Injection Well 8 is 8 inches in diameter. Re-Injection Well 9 is 12 inches in diameter. The other re-injection wells are all 16 inches in diameter.

In February 2000, a new injection rate strategy was initiated to help compensate for well downtimes due to maintenance, electrical outages, etc. Re-injection rate set points may be temporarily increased to 220 gpm toward the end of a month and decreased to the 200 gpm rate at the start of a new month. The ability to increase re-injection rates is dependent upon the condition of the wells, availability of higher than average groundwater treatment capacity, and lower than normal uranium concentrations in the site effluent. This strategy for adjusting re-injection rate set points may continue in future months, depending on the variables noted above.

Figure 3 illustrates the water level rise in each of the operating re-injection wells from October 1 to November 1, 2001, as recorded by the operators at the AWWT Expansion Facility Distributed Control System (DCS). Water levels are recorded three times each day. Water levels inside the re-injection wells are monitored as an indicator of plugging within the wells. Given a constant re-injection rate, as a well becomes plugged, the water level in the well rises to compensate for the greater pressure needed to move the same volume of water through a smaller opening.

While it is not the intent of this report to discuss operational issues, the following information is provided to aid in the interpretation of Figures 1 and 3.

4071

SUMMARY OF SYSTEM OUTAGES FOR THE REPORTING PERIOD

For the month of October 2001, re-injection took place at a reduced rate due to outages of Re-Injection Wells 8 and 9. Re-Injection Well 10 was down from the beginning of the month through first shift on October 24, 2001. Re-Injection Well 11 was down from the beginning of the month through second shift on October 10, 2001.

SUMMARY OF WELL MAINTENANCE FOR THE REPORTING PERIOD

- Re-Injection Well 10 came back online during second shift on October 24, 2001.
- Re-Injection Well 11 came back online during third shift on October 10, 2001.
- Re-Injection Wells 8 and 9 remained offline through the end of October 2001 as presented in Tables 2 and 3, respectively.

NOTIFICATION OF SIGNIFICANT REDUCTION IN RE-INJECTION EFFICIENCY

The re-injection wells have been subject to increased residual plugging that has effectively stopped re-injection at Re-Injection Wells 8 and 9 and, at the beginning of the month, Re-Injection Wells 10 and 11. The cessation of re-injection in these wells resulted in an overall well field reduction of 80 percent at the beginning of the reporting period, resulting in a re-injection rate of 200 gpm. By the end of the reporting period, however, the system was re-injecting at 600 gpm. Overall, the system has not met the design rate of 1000 gpm for the reporting period.

While it is not within the scope of this report to detail both problem analysis and methods of solving this problem, steps currently underway include:

- Re-Injection Well 10 was successfully brought back online during the week of October 22, 2001. This was the third re-injection well to undergo the revised treatment with positive results.
- Re-Injection Well 12 was restarted on September 26, 2001 at a rate of 150 gpm; it continued to re-inject through October 2001. During the week of October 22, 2001 the re-injection rate in Re-Injection Well 12 was increased to the design rate of 200 gpm.
- Treatment of Re-Injection Well 11 was completed the week of October 1, 2001. Samples were collected on October 9, 2001; the well was restarted at an injection rate of 200 gpm on October 10, 2001.
- Re-Injection Wells 8 and 9 are next in line for the revised treatment once South Field Extraction Well 21 rehabilitation is complete.

More information, including information beyond the temporal scope of this report (e.g., more recent than October 2001), will be presented in the weekly site conference calls as it becomes available.

TABLE 1

ANALYSIS OF INJECTATE
Sample Collected October 3, 2001

Constituents ^a	Result ^b	Groundwater FRL ^c	Detection Limit	Constituent Type ^g	Basis for FRL ^h
General Chemistry		mg/L			
Nitrate	0.49	11.0		MP	B
Inorganics		mg/L			
Antimony	U	0.006	0.0023	N	A
Arsenic	U	0.05	0.0039	N	A
Barium	0.0449 B ^c	2.0		N	A
Beryllium	0.00035 B ^c	0.004		N	A
Cadmium	U	0.014	0.0003	N	B
Total Chromium	U	0.022 ^f	0.0014	MP	R
Cobalt	U	0.17	0.0009	N	R
Lead	U	0.015	0.0024	N	A
Manganese	0.00051 B ^c	0.9		N	B
Mercury	U	0.002	0.0001	MP	A
Nickel	U	0.1	0.0017	N	A
Selenium	U	0.05	0.0032	N	A
Silver	U	0.05	0.0005	N	R
Vanadium	U	0.038	0.0005	N	R
Zinc	0.00046 B ^c	0.021		N	B
Radionuclides		pCi/L			
Neptunium-237	U	1.0	0.0187	MP	R*
Radium-226	0.536	20.0		N	A
Strontium-90	0.212	8.0		MP	A
Thorium-228	U	4.0	0.0317	N	R*
Thorium-232	U	1.2	-0.00087	N	R*
Total Uranium	0.751	μg/L		MP	A
Organics		μg/L			
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	0.7 J ^d	6.0		N	A
Carbon disulfide	U	5.5	1.0	N	A
1, 1-Dichloroethene	U	7.0	1.0	N	A
1, 2-Dichloroethane	U	5.0	1.0	MP	A
Trichloroethene	U	5.0	1.0	N	A

^aConstituents taken from Table 2-1 of Re-Injection Demonstration Test Plan. Constituents are those previously detected in aquifer zones 2 and 4 at concentrations above their FRL.

^bIf a duplicate sample was analyzed the highest concentration between the regular sample and duplicate sample is reported.

U = Nondetect

^cB = Lab qualifier. Reported result is greater than the instrument detection level but less than the contract required detection limit.

^dJ = Lab qualifier. Reported result is positively detected but is estimated; the result is still usable for making decisions.

^eFrom Table 9-4 in OU5 ROD.

^fFRL is for hexavalent chromium.

^gConstituent types from Appendix A of IEMP. MP indicates that the constituent has been identified as being able to migrate to the aquifer. N indicates that the constituent has been identified as not being able to migrate to the aquifer.

^hA - Applicable or relevant and appropriate requirement based (MCL, PMCL, etc.).

B - Based on 95th percentile background concentrations.

R - Risk-based

R* - Risk-based radionuclide cleanup levels include constituent specific 95th percentile background concentration.

4071

TABLE 2

**RE-INJECTION WELL 22107 (TW-8)
OPERATIONAL SUMMARY SHEET
OCTOBER 2001**

Reference Elevation (feet AMSL) - 539.92 (top of casing)

Northing Coordinate ('83) - 476196.22

Easting Coordinate ('83) - 1347978.25

Hours in reporting period^a = 744.00Hours not injecting^b = 744.00Hours injecting^c = 0.00Operational percent^d = 0.0

Target Injection Rate = 200 gpm

Monthly Measurements		
Month ^e	Million Gallons Injected ^f	Average Operating Injection Rate (gpm) ^g
1998	7.04	207
1999	7.21	199
2000	4.26	149
1/01	0.00	0
2/01	0.00	0
3/01	0.00	0
4/01	0.00	0
5/01	0.00	0
6/01	0.00	0
7/01	0.00	0
8/01	0.00	0
9/01	0.00	0
10/01	0.00	0

^aFirst operational shift reading on October 1, 2001 to first operational shift reading on November 1, 2001.^bDowntime as noted in the text.^cHours in reporting period - Hours not injecting^d(Hours injecting/Hours in reporting period) x 100^eAverage for calendar years 1998, 1999, and 2000^fSummation of daily totalizer differences^gGallons Injected/(Hours Injecting x 60)

TABLE 3

**RE-INJECTION WELL 22108 (IW-9)
OPERATIONAL SUMMARY SHEET
OCTOBER 2001**

Reference Elevation (feet AMSL) - 578.025 (top of casing)

Northing Coordinate ('83) - 476255.74

Easting Coordinate ('83) - 1348384.49

Hours in reporting period^a = 744.00

Target Injection Rate = 200 gpm

Hours not injecting^b = 744.00Hours injecting^c = 0.00Operational percent^d = 0.0

Monthly Measurements		
Month ^e	Million Gallons Injected ^f	Average Operating Injection Rate (gpm) ^g
1998	7.67	204
1999	6.64	188
2000	4.29	164
1/01	0.00	0
2/01	0.00	0
3/01	0.11	204
4/01	0.00	0
5/01	0.00	0
6/01	0.00	0
7/01	0.00	0
8/01	0.00	0
9/01	0.00	0
10/01	0.00	0

^aFirst operational shift reading on October 1, 2001 to first operational shift reading on November 1, 2001.^bDowntime as noted in the text.^cHours in reporting period - Hours not injecting^d(Hours injecting/Hours in reporting period) x 100^eAverage for calendar years 1998, 1999, and 2000^fSummation of daily totalizer differences^gGallons Injected/(Hours Injecting x 60)

4071

TABLE 4

**RE-INJECTION WELL 22109 (IW-10)
OPERATIONAL SUMMARY SHEET
OCTOBER 2001**

Reference Elevation (feet AMSL) - 576.92 (top of casing)

Northing Coordinate ('83) - 476175.65

Easting Coordinate ('83) - 1348860.53

Hours in reporting period^a = 732.78Hours not injecting^b = 576.00Hours injecting^c = 156.78Operational percent^d = 21.4

Target Injection Rate = 200 gpm

Monthly Measurements		
Month ^e	Million Gallons Injected ^f	Average Operating Injection Rate (gpm) ^g
1998	7.66	204
1999	7.07	196
2000	3.96	149
1/01	2.72	206
2/01	6.27	199
3/01	7.82	200
4/01	7.81	201
5/01	8.01	199
6/01	1.28	201
7/01	0.00	0
8/01	0.00	0
9/01	0.00	0
10/01	1.85	197

^aFirst operational shift reading on October 1, 2001 to first operational shift reading on November 1, 2001.^bDowntime as noted in the text.^cHours in reporting period - Hours not injecting^d(Hours injecting/Hours in reporting period) x 100^eAverage for calendar years 1998, 1999, and 2000^fSummation of daily totalizer differences^gGallons Injected/(Hours Injecting x 60)

TABLE 5

**RE-INJECTION WELL 22240 (IW-11)
OPERATIONAL SUMMARY SHEET
OCTOBER 2001**

Reference Elevation (feet AMSL) - 577.14 (top of casing)

Northing Coordinate ('83) - 476422.82

Easting Coordinate ('83) - 1349386.92

Hours in reporting period^a = 750.37

Target Injection Rate = 200 gpm

Hours not injecting^b = 296.00Hours injecting^c = 454.37Operational percent^d = 60.6

Monthly Measurements		
Month ^e	Million Gallons Injected ^f	Average Operating Injection Rate (gpm) ^g
1998	7.72	206
1999	7.61	199
2000	6.38	196
1/01	5.97	200
2/01	6.26	199
3/01	7.76	196
4/01	7.68	202
5/01	8.03	201
6/01	6.61	200
7/01	3.91	198
8/01	4.57	195
9/01	3.31	197
10/01	5.39	198

^aFirst operational shift reading on October 1, 2001 to first operational shift reading on November 1, 2001.^bDowntime as noted in the text.^cHours in reporting period - Hours not injecting^d $(\text{Hours injecting} / \text{Hours in reporting period}) \times 100$ ^eAverage for calendar years 1998, 1999, and 2000^fSummation of daily totalizer differences^gGallons Injected/(Hours Injecting x 60)

4071

TABLE 6

**RE-INJECTION WELL 22111 (IW-12)
OPERATIONAL SUMMARY SHEET
OCTOBER 2001**

Reference Elevation (feet AMSL) - 583.01 (top of casing)

Northing Coordinate ('83) - 476518.64


Easting Coordinate ('83) - 1350105.39

Hours in reporting period^a = 750.38Hours not injecting^b = 113.00Hours injecting^c = 637.38Operational percent^d = 84.9Target Injection Rate = 150^e gpm

Monthly Measurements		
Month ^e	Million Gallons Injected ^f	Average Operating Injection Rate (gpm) ^h
1998	7.63	206
1999	7.55	198
2000	6.05	180
1/01	0.00	0
2/01	0.00	0
3/01	0.00	0
4/01	0.00	0
5/01	0.00	0
6/01	0.00	0
7/01	0.00	0
8/01	0.00	0
9/01	1.02	150
10/01	6.07	159

^aFirst operational shift reading on October 1, 2001 to first operational shift reading on November 1, 2001.^bDowntime as noted in the text.^cHours in reporting period - Hours not injecting^d $(\text{Hours injecting} / \text{Hours in reporting period}) \times 100$ ^eAverage for calendar years 1998, 1999, and 2000^fSummation of daily totalizer differences^gFor most of October 2001, the target re-injection rate was 150 gpm.^h $\text{Gallons Injected} / (\text{Hours Injecting} \times 60)$

Figure 1
AWWT Expansion 1800 System Effluent Total Uranium Concentration (µg/L)
on Days when Re-Injection Occurred



Note: Down times are discussed in the text.





FIGURE 2. LOCATION OF RE-INJECTION WELLS

Figure 3
Re-Injection Wells, Water Level Rise
First Shift on October 1, 2001 (Sample Number 3377) to First Shift on November 1, 2001
(Sample Number 3470)



- IW-8 - IW-9 Δ IW-10 ● IW-11 + IW-12